RECORD OF EXERCISE OF DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY OFFICER PURSUANT TO REGULATION 13 OF THE LOCAL AUTHORITIES (EXECUTIVE ARRANGEMENTS) (MEETINGS AND ACCESS TO INFORMATION) (ENGLAND) REGULATIONS 2012 & THE OPENNESS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT BODIES REGULATIONS 2014

SUBJECT OF DECISION

To appoint a contractor to provide refurbishment works at Lexington Court, Upper Abbey St., Nuneaton, CV11 5DG

DECISION	SOURCE OF AUTHORITY
REFERENCE	AND REFERENCE (i.e. Committee/
	Constitution/Minute No. etc.
DO/29/2024 (NB)	
	Cabinet meeting – 21 February 2024 CB105
DATE OF DECISION	DECISION MAKER (Name and Job Title)
4 September 2024	
	Bottell
	Nicola Botterill
	Assistant Director for Social Housing and Community Safety

RECORD OF THE DECISION

a) The issue

NBBC require external support from a competent contractor to undertake the replacement of handrails and various associated refurbishment works to Lexington Court. The project has been referred to Capital Projects as there is a Cat 1 safety risk identified with the existing handrails. Whilst the risk has been mitigated by erecting scaffolding as a temporary measure the handrails need full replacement.

b) The Decision

To appoint a contractor to complete the required works as tendered.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

NBBC have an obligation to ensure its properties are kept in a good and safe standard. The current handrail installations have deteriorated, and various associated works are now required. The appointment of an external contractor is the most suitable option for remedy.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED IN MAKING THE DECISION

Repair of the existing handrails. This is not economic, and the full replacement will ensure that the handrails are up to current building regulations. In addition, less on-going maintenance will be required.

WARD RELEVANCE ΑII FINANCIAL AND BUDGET IMPLICATIONS The costs of the works have been included in the budget setting process for the Capital Programme. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN WITH MEMBERS/OFFICERS Capital Investment Service Manager and Procurement Officer have both been consulted. ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARED BY ANY MEMBER CONSULTED None IN RESPECT OF ANY DECLARED CONFLICT BY A CABINET MEMBER. ANY DISPENSATION GIVEN BY THE HEAD OF PAID SERVICE (Note if the decision is a nonexecutive decision, no dispensation can be given). N/a EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS (including any Equality Impact Assessment) N/a **HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS** None FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS Refer to financial budget implications **HEALTH EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS** None **SECTION 17 CRIME & DISORDER IMPLICATIONS** None

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Health and Safety risks of occupants and contractor(s) during refurbishment works have been considered and all required preventative measures are planned.

SME (SMALL/MEDIUM ENTERPRISES) & LOCAL ECONOMY IMPLICATIONS
None
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS
None
LEGAL IMPLICATIONS
None
ANY OTHER COMMENTS
None

PLEASE RETURN TO THE MONITORING OFFICER AS SOON AS A DECISION IS MADE OR AS REASONABLY PRACTICABLE THEREAFTER