

Green Flag Quality Assessments



April – May 2009



jones plus limited

e-mail: jonesplusltd@yahoo.co.uk

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Miners Welfare Park

Classification: Destination

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Signposted off Rye Piece Ringway. Entrance signs in place. Faded sign by main vehicle entrance. Given the site, there is scope for a more welcoming entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Car park. Accessible on foot with several access points.
	3	Signage	5	Good number of signs but mark limited by appearance and condition. Finger post signs in place, but some directional signs appear incorrect.
	4	Equal access for all	6	Good range of play and sporting opportunities. Good path network across the site.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	Toilet facility – minor external damage. Children's play limited but adequate. Good path network. Dilapidated lighting column with exposed wires.
	6	Personal security in park	6	CCTV by skate area. Lights along part of the path network.
	7	Dog fouling	2	Dog bins located across park. Lots of dog foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Good mix of facilities although no consistent approach to type, style, etc.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Variable across site with scope for improvement.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Good provision but still lots of litter across site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Formal grass areas and beds well maintained. However remainder of site not so well maintained which lowers mark.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Kiosk and other built structures generally adequate although most affected by graffiti.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Adequate.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Trees generally acceptable.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Woodland area of park identified as destination wildspace – no formal designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	One of the sites where play workers engage with the local community during certain periods of the year.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good range of provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	5	Range of signs across site. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	6	Information available about recycling, other open spaces and about how the park was given to the people from the Miners Welfare Association.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 121 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 35 out of 70 (50%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Whittleford Park inc Vale View

Classification: Destination

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Large site incorporating environmental areas and informal park landscape (Vale View). Defined entrance points at several locations across site
	2	Good and safe access	6	Path network leading into and through site. Car parking facilities at nearby community facility next to Vale View.
	3	Signage	5	Signage mainly concentrated next to water habitat through the site, and some signage within Vale View.
	4	Equal access for all	4	The quality and accessibility of the path network varies across the site. Diverse spaces including play provision at Vale View and Queen Elizabeth Road.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Quality of path network varies, and the condition of the play equipment is also variable with some vandalised.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Although there is natural surveillance at site boundary, the majority of the site is very isolated, particularly within the extensive woodland habitat.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Minimal foul across site indicating responsible dog ownership particularly given that there are few dog bins.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Some benches located within the site; focused mainly around play provision and the water habitat. Scope for additional provision
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Generally acceptable.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Given the size of the site, there was some litter noted across site. Scope for additional litter bins.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Shrub beds very poorly maintained at Vale View. Concerning the environmental area, maintenance generally acceptable.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Difficult to identify extent of site. Boundary generally satisfactory.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Range of equipment at Vale View and other parts of site – maintenance generally fair although some equipment showing signs of vandalism.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Some damage to trees – to be expected given large size of site.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	7	Friends Group actively involved with the site.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Good mix of habitat, play provision, sports pitches. Scope for better amenity provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Some signs across site but no other marketing material, apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	5	Information boards present including sign prominently positioned at Vale View providing historical information about the area.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	No management plan.
TOTAL: 125 out of 25 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 35 out of 70 (50%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Riversley Park

Classification: Destination

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	6	Signage in place at main entrance. Attractive flower beds. Number of access points into site.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Pay and display car park. Good path network, into and through the site.
	3	Signage	6	Good range of signage and finger posts across site but quality and maintenance varies, which limits mark awarded.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Limited play area. Seating areas and sporting opportunities (bowls). Pingles Leisure Centre located next to park.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	Limited play area – safety surfacing has been removed from underneath one set of swings. Path network acceptable. Toilet on site.
	6	Personal security in park	4	Lighting within the site. Some isolated areas within the site. Tunnel next to Pingles Leisure Centre.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Good provision of bins and no real foul of note. Some dog foul signage.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Good mix of facilities – well located. Lack consistency, particularly with regard to the types of bins used.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Generally acceptable, although some instances of graffiti.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	7	Good provision and minor litter noted across site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Grass areas well maintained with edging well defined. Beds are acceptable.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	7	Gallery / Museum on site. Externally, it is in good condition – same applies to bandstand. Site boundary is good.
	13	Equipment maintenance	3	Play area is limited. Surfacing removed from underneath swing.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	No designations.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	One of the sites where play workers engage with the local community during certain periods of the year.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Adequate provision – play opportunities need improving.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	5	Car park signage, Britain in Bloom and other informative signs.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	5	On a sign next to the museum there is heritage information about the park, and also further details about the town.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	4	Only one of the non-countryside sites to have a management plan.
TOTAL: 128 (out of 24 factors)				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 37 out of 70 (53%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Bailey Park

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with no welcome signage. Scope for improvements.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Nearby bus route. Accessible on foot. No car park but on-street parking nearby.
	3	Signage	1	Alcohol free zone and no motorcycle sign by MUGA.
	4	Equal access for all	6	Mix of play and recreational opportunities. Formal seating areas by rose beds. Environmental area to rear of site.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Play area ok. Tennis courts used for basketball but in poor condition.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Park area is open. More secluded in wood area. MUGA floodlit.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Adequate bin provision although there are no bins in the wood area.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Good mix of facilities although there is no consistent approach to type, colour, etc.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Benches of variable quality. Some of the older benches have been repaired whilst others are in need of repair.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Minimal litter and sufficient bins.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Rose beds could be in better condition, although the main grass areas are acceptable.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	7	Boundary well maintained.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play equipment adequately maintained although there is some graffiti.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Part of the site is classed as a SINC / Local wildlife site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	One of the sites where play workers engage with the local community during certain periods of the year.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good variety of provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Insufficient signage across the site. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 127 out of 25 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 36 out of 70 (51%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Barnacle Lane

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	The entrance to the site is not immediately apparent and easy to miss. Dog bin by entrance had not been emptied – creating an unwelcoming feel.
	2	Good and safe access	2	No car park. Near to housing.
	3	Signage	3	“No swimming” signs by water habitat. Information board by entrance (defaced).
	4	Equal access for all	3	Paths around site. Gravel / grass tracks. Poor access into site. Diverse habitat. Some benches.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	Paths could be better.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Very isolated within the site. Limited natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	2	One dog bin that was full on day of inspection. Need further provision. Foul noted around site.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	3	Good provision of seating but insufficient bins and signage.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Seats in adequate condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	1	No bins and some litter noted.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Maintenance fair across site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Park boundary adequate.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Good range of tree species.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteers work on the site on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Mix of habitat types.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Event information available on the 'What's On' guide within the council's website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Information board present but it has been defaced. Minimal signage and no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	5	Information about the habitat types provided on the information board.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 93 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 28 out of 70 (40%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Bedworth Sloughs

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Difficult to locate off main road, with no advisory sign. There is a welcome sign by the lake but it's in poor condition.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Small car park in site. Access via narrow track.
	3	Signage	3	Welcome sign in poor condition. There are "no swimming" signs around the lake – in poor condition.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Mix of habitat – woodland, wetland. Good number of benches but in poor condition.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	Little in the way of facilities except for seats. Condition variable.
	6	Personal security in park	3	Isolated and hidden away in the main.
	7	Dog fouling	3	Some dog bins present but lots of dog foul.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Bins, seats and signs positioned in suitable locations – condition could be improved.
	9	Quality of facilities	2	Most facilities in poor condition – scope for improvement.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	3	Suitable number but lots of litter still observed.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Mix of maintenance regimes.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Site boundary generally acceptable.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	8	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site, and a Local Nature Reserve.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteers work at site on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Good mix of habitat. Scope for improved amenities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	4	Limited information available – included on council website as part of ‘walks and waterway’ feature.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Signs provided across site – condition poor. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	5	Information board within the site containing information about habitats. Score limited by poor condition.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 100 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 30 out of 70 (43%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Bermuda Balancing Lake

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	Entrance gate in place. Difficult to locate site.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Access via gravel track. New housing areas with links to site. No car park.
	3	Signage	5	"Thin ice" and "No Swimming" signs around perimeter of lake.
	4	Equal access for all	4	Gravel track around lake. Steps to lake with no handrail. Access could be better.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Paths generally ok, although uneven in places.
	6	Personal security in park	3	Limited natural surveillance. Lights along path near to new housing area.
	7	Dog fouling	3	1 bin by entrance, although no dog foul signage. Foul noted around site.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Abundance of seating around lake. No litter or dog bins next to the seats.
	9	Quality of facilities	7	Seats generally good.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	Litter evident and no litter bin.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Grass areas are adequately maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Site boundary is adequate.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Abundance of trees around site – in adequate condition.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	7	Active residents association involved with site.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Information on the council website that the residents association received £5,000 from the Community Plan Fund to upgrade cycle access and paths.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Could be more signage around site and other appropriate information.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 102 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 31 out of 70 (44%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Beverley Avenue inc Whytell Pool

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	The information board is placed next to Whytell Pool. No welcome signage by entrance points. Entrances defined by access points but not overly welcoming.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Adequate pedestrian access into the site. On-street parking available on Beverley Avenue.
	3	Signage	6	Main signage is concentrated around the pool area, with 'motorcycles prohibited' signage by entrance off Beverley Avenue – some graffiti.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Site is an environmental area with woodland, grassland and water habitat. Gravel paths through the site.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Gravel tracks and informal mown grass paths generally acceptable given the type of site.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Isolated areas within site and minimal surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	3	Some multi-use bins around the site, but a lot of foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Most of the facility provision is concentrated around Whytell Pool.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Satisfactory.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Some bins located around the site but litter still noted. On information board it asks all users not to litter site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Site appears to be maintained to a suitable standard
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Site boundary adequately maintained.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	7	Volunteers at site on an ad-hoc basis, and active residents association.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Fair given that the site functions an environmental site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Event information available on the 'What's On' guide within the council's website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Signage around the site but no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	6	Information board providing information about the habitat and wildlife.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	6	Produced in 2004. High focus on ecology (minimal on people / recreation) and highly complex management prescriptions
TOTAL: 125 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 38 out of 70 (54%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Blue Bell Drive

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Apparent as an open space, but not welcoming.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Bus stop nearby. Residential areas surrounding the site. Poor parking opportunities.
	3	Signage	3	There are signs positioned around the lake but most are defaced and therefore difficult to read.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Gravel / stone path running around the lake, with tarmac surfacing along one edge. Some benches and limited play opportunities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Play facilities ok. Surfaces could be improved.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Planted areas create isolated sections. Generally quite open.
	7	Dog fouling	7	Good provision of bins and not much foul.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Adequate.
	9	Quality of facilities	4	Graffiti and vandalism of some facilities particularly signs.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Some litter bins and little litter noted.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	General open space fabric ok.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play equipment adequately maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Trees damaged in places.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	4	Identified as a pSINC site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	No active community involvement.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Suitable provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Signs in place but they are defaced. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	2	Defaced signs.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 100 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 29 out of 70 (42%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Bulkington Rec

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	6	Defined entrance with car park and vehicle maintenance gate. Signs by the car park.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Car park. Access on foot although site is located by busy road.
	3	Signage	5	Welcome sign by car park. Other signs located here and across the park. The condition of some signs could be improved.
	4	Equal access for all	7	Good range of play opportunities. Cricket pitch. basketball court, MUGA, and sports club facilities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	7	Dog-proof play area - use of metal fencing and wooden sleepers to prevent dogs from accessing the play area. Good path network.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Lighting along the path. No lights by play area.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bins along main path and one by basketball court.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Good range of facilities across the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Maintenance could be improved and there could be a more uniform approach to type, style, etc.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Adequate provision and limited litter.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Grass cut short and the sports pitch is adequately maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Park boundary generally ok although some residential fencing is in poor condition.
	13	Equipment maintenance	7	Well maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	New planted trees equipped with guards.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good mix of play and sports facilities across the site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Adequate signage, but no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 119 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 36 out of 70 (51%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Chaucer / Chesterton

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with no signage or defined entrance point.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Residential footpath network surrounding the site providing suitable access to the open space.
	3	Signage	1	'Green Track' sign.
	4	Equal access for all	2	Track running through the site. Uneven in places. Limited play opportunities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	Play area safe for use. Functional without providing real play value.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Secluded track but aside from this adequate natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Dog bins present and minimal foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Limited provision with one bench and few bins.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Generally ok but some facilities have been defaced.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Grass cut variable across site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Site boundary fair – fencing ok.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Maintenance is adequate.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Trees appear to be in good condition.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	2	Poor – insufficient play and amenity provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Green track signage in place, but no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 88 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 27 out of 70 (38%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Crowhill Rec

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	Clearly defined entrance gates but site is very difficult to locate.
	2	Good and safe access	1	Access via gravel track.
	3	Signage	0	No signage.
Healthy, safe & secure	4	Equal access for all	4	Benches located on top of steep mound – will be difficult to access for some people. Range of play equipment
	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Equipment and paths within site adequate. No dog-proofing measures.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Floodlit MUGA. Isolated site with no natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	0	No dog bins and dog foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Picnic benches, seats, litter bins. However, no signs or dog bins.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Adequate.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Adequate provision and condition.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Poor maintenance around facilities such as picnic benches.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Play equipment adequately maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of greenspace.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	4	Damage to some trees on site.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor environmental area within site, but no designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	No known community involvement.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Good range of play opportunities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 68 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 21 out of 70 (30%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Ensor's Pool / Lingmoor Park

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	7	Clearly defined entrance to Ensors Pool with appropriate signage in place.
	2	Good and safe access	5	One entrance into Ensor's Pool, with no car park. On-street parking available.
	3	Signage	4	Signage concentrated around entrance point and by pool. Affected by graffiti and vandalism. No motorcycle signage in Lingmoor Park.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Gravel path through sites. Environmental area and informal green space. Limited seating opportunities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Some of the paths are not well defined and are also uneven.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Isolated areas with Ensors Pool and it is not overlooked. Natural surveillance better within Lingmoor Park.
	7	Dog fouling	3	One multi-use bin at Ensors Pool that was in need of emptying. More bins at Lingmoor Park. Some foul noted on main route into Ensors Pool.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	2	Need more provision in Ensors Pool. One picnic bench by pool and no litterbins around this part of the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	4	Graffiti and damage to bench. Bin required emptying by entrance.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	4	Not much litter at site, although broken glass by picnic bench. Scope for increased provision.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	In the main part acceptable. Low lying branches need removing along main access path.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Site boundary clearly identifiable. Range of fencing.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Some damage to trees noted.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	9	Designated as a SAC and a SSSI.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteers at the site on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Important environmental space.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	7	Event information available on council website. Also included as part of "walks and waterway" feature.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Some signage. Scope for increasing information availability.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	4	Information board by the pool providing habitat and site information. Score limited by its poor condition (vandalism)
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 111 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 34 out of 70 (48%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Greenmoor Road

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Main entrance off Greenmoor Road. There is sign by the entrance but it is illegible creating a poor impression.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Car park by Leisure Centre. Footpaths from surrounding residential area leading to the site.
	3	Signage	3	Concentrated around play area and entrance. Poor condition.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Range of facilities available for different age groups. Paths to play facilities but no other hard surfaces within the site.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Play facilities ok.
	6	Personal security in park	7	CCTV located by skate facility. Floodlit path. Natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	4	Some dog bins by the play area. Could do with additional provision across rest of site.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	
	9	Quality of facilities	4	Bins and signs damaged.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Enough bins by play equipment. Even though damaged, still used by visitors to site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Residential fencing in variable condition around boundary edge.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Adequate.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor part of formal area identified as a wildspace – no designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	7	BMX club actively involved.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good range of provision including a variety of play and sporting opportunities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	1	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 106 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 32 out of 70 (46%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Griff Hollows / Wem Brook

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	Entrance gates. Motor vehicle sign along edge of site. Unwelcoming entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Pedestrian access from nearby residential area. No car park.
	3	Signage	2	Motor vehicle sign by entrance. No other signage.
	4	Equal access for all	3	Mix of woodland habitat. Path network across site, although access is poor in some areas.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Steps with handrail to cross brook. Path network could be improved.
	6	Personal security in park	1	Secluded and isolated site.
	7	Dog fouling	0	No dog bins or appropriate signage within the site. Foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	1	Benches by entrance. No other facilities across site.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Benches in adequate condition although they are different styles.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	Litter noted across site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Woodland habitat and grass areas generally acceptable.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Difficult to identify boundary of site.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Good mix of woodland habitat.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Designated as a SINC / Local Wildlife Site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteers on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Mix of habitat but insufficient amenities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	No information immediately apparent on council website concerning site.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	4	Produced in 2004. High focus on ecology (minimal on people / recreation) and highly complex management prescriptions.
TOTAL: 78 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 24 out of 70 (34%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Heath Road Rec

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Visible access gate and path. No signage.
	2	Good and safe access	6	On-street parking nearby.
	3	Signage	0	
Healthy, safe & secure	4	Equal access for all	7	One bench in play area. Wide path leading to play area. Lean back seats part of MUGA. Shelter.
	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Children's play areas had dog proof fencing and self closing gates. Tarmac path leading to play area is adequate.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Good natural surveillance from houses and main road.
	7	Dog fouling	8	2 dog bins and no foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Good provision given the size of the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Bench in play area is old and in need of replacing. One dog bin has been vandalised.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	7	3 Litter bins and minimal litter.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Grass long. Shrub beds poor.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Boundary fencing variable in quality.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Play area ok.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Few trees on site but those present are adequate.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good provision given the size of the site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 111 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 32 out of 70 (46%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Heckley Rec

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Welcome sign by entrance. Vehicle access gate. Weeds by entrance. Not very inviting.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Car park. Good path network into and through the site. Residential areas nearby.
	3	Signage	4	Welcome sign and byelaws sign by entrance. Partly visible as they are hidden by conifers. Range of other signs across the site. Condition variable.
Healthy, safe & secure	4	Equal access for all	7	Two football pitches, play area, MUGA, basketball, cricket. Seats across the seat with good provision by cricket pitch. Good path network.
	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Dog proof play area but this and the tennis courts have damaged fencing. Hard surfaces generally satisfactory.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Lighting along the paths. Overlooked by housing.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Bins positioned across the park. Some dog foul.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Good range of facilities.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Need replacing in the future but they are still functional.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Good provision but some litter noted.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Grass cut variable across the site - cricket pitch good but football pitch grass too long.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Pavilion and site boundary is adequate. Some variable quality fencing.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Satisfactory.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	Football and cricket associations.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good provision within the site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Signage in place, but no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 111 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 34 out of 70 (48%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Keresley Rec

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Car park with disabled bays by community centre / open space. Defaced signs by main entrance – not very inviting. Attractive play area.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Car park. Accessible on foot. Bus route nearby.
	3	Signage	4	Excellent provision of signage but condition limits the scores. Some defaced and damaged.
	4	Equal access for all	6	Two football pitches, MUGA, basketball, teen shelter, play area. Seats in play area. Community Centre in the middle of the site. Path leading to & through play area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Dog proofed play area. Good range of equipment that encourages risk in a managed environment.
	6	Personal security in park	6	CCTV and floodlights by Community Centre.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bins on the playing field.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Most facilities situated near to play equipment. No seats on playing field.
	9	Quality of facilities	4	Variable quality.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Litter bins concentrated around play area.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Boundary adequate.
	13	Equipment maintenance	7	Equipment well maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Trees around boundary edge – generally adequate.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor part of formal area identified as a wildspace – no designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Maintenance of signage could be better. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 117 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 34 out of 70 (49%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Kingswood

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	Defined entrance designed to prevent motorbikes accessing site. Uninviting entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Access from residential area. On-street parking available.
	3	Signage	0	No signage.
	4	Equal access for all	2	Path network through site, but uneven in many places. No seating facilities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	Uneven path network.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Very isolated within centre of site. Natural surveillance around part of the perimeter.
	7	Dog fouling	0	No dog bins on site, or appropriate signage relating to dogs.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	0	No facilities.
	9	Quality of facilities	N/A	
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	No litter bins and litter noted across site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Maintained to a suitable standard given type of site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Boundary fencing damaged and missing in places.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteer groups on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	2	Functions as an environmental site but in need of basic facility provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 64 out of 22 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 20 out of 70 (29%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Marlborough Rec

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	6	3 pedestrian self-closing entrance gates (although one did not self close) and a vehicle maintenance gate. Small signs positioned by entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Pedestrian access on foot. Street car parking available adjacent to site.
	3	Signage	5	Signs on boundary fencing. In suitable condition but the signs are too small to be effective.
	4	Equal access for all	6	Play equipment for a wide range of ages. Path network and seating areas.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Paths and play equipment adequate. Fencing around site perimeter.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Overlooked on all sides. Highway lighting. Open nature of site.
	7	Dog fouling	7	Dog bins at entrance points.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Good provision of amenities including well positioned seating.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Acceptable condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Poor maintenance around edge of play equipment.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Boundary well defined by bow-top fencing. Some low level wooden fencing along one boundary edge – poor condition.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Acceptable.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Young trees planted around site boundary.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Suitable provision given size and location of site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Signage on site, but no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 108 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 33 out of 70 (47%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Newdigate Rec

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Entrance point, locked car park, not very inviting.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Locked car park. Easily accessible on foot. Entrances are difficult for wheelchair users to manoeuvre through.
	3	Signage	3	"No motorcycle" signs, small sign by play area which is not very prominent given how small it is.
	4	Equal access for all	6	Mix of play (play area, MUGA, shelter) and sporting opportunities (cricket and football). Good path network by play provision.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	7	Good, dog-proofed facilities for use.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Surrounded by housing in the main. Lights by the main paths. Floodlit MUGA.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Suitable number of bins. Little dog foul.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Generally there is satisfactory provision with formal seating areas located near to the play facilities. No seating and lack of provision around the sports pitches.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Generally acceptable.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Adequate provision including bins in the play area.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Shrub beds by seating areas in very poor condition. Grass cut variable across the site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Locked pavilion affected by graffiti. Metal structure by play area also affected by graffiti and damaged.
	13	Equipment maintenance	7	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of a wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community. Football and cricket association active.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good range of provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	The sign by the play area makes minimal impact due to small size. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 114 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 33 out of 70 (48%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Nuneaton Rec / Pool Bank

Classification: Community / Neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Pay and display car park by entrance. No welcome signage. Litter and broken glass by main entrance off Pool Bank.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Pay and display car park. Pedestrian access points around site with main path running through centre of site.
	3	Signage	3	There are some signs in place relating to alcohol use, cycling, and the car park. Appearance poor – affected by graffiti.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Good path network through the site and paths leading to play facilities – MUGA and play area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Paths and children's play area in satisfactory condition.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Lights along the main path network, floodlit MUGA. Some natural surveillance by houses surrounding site.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bins available within the site although instances of foul still noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Seats and bin provision well situated along the main path. There is also some provision on the playing field.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	The quality of the provision is variable.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	4	Good provision of litter bins but a lot of litter and broken glass around the site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Poor maintenance, particularly around the play area
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Site boundary is in poor condition at certain points (poorly maintained residential fencing limits the mark).
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play area maintenance is acceptable.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of a wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Well established mature trees flanking main path network and site boundary.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	No active community involvement
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Insufficient signage and no other marketing material.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 94 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 29 out of 70 (41%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Pauls Land inc Quarry

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	6	Sign on Lutterworth Road advising of the park. Entrance barriers. Welcome sign defaced by the car park.
	2	Good and safe access	7	Cycle route through the park. Good size car park. Easily accessible on foot.
	3	Signage	4	Signs around car park and national cycle network sign. Signage generally in poor condition.
	4	Equal access for all	7	Diverse facilities and spaces.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	7	Good path network and facilities.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Lights along path network. Floodlit MUGA. Isolated in wood area of site.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Numerous bins although dog foul was noted in places.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Insufficient seating – concentrated by play area and car park.
	9	Quality of facilities	7	Good.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Sufficient litter bins.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Acceptable maintenance across site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Pavilion adequate and site boundary acceptable.
	13	Equipment maintenance	7	New play provision well maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Some trees have been damaged across the site.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	4	Part of site is identified as a wildspace, but with no formal designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good mix of play and sports facilities, and park furniture. Diverse spaces.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Signage and information ok – scope for increased provision. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 131 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 38 out of 70 (55%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Sandon Park

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Entrance drive leads to a car park that has been closed. Signage installed states that it's been closed on the request of local residents.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Easily accessible on foot. Car park out of use.
	3	Signage	2	There are signs concentrated around the car park but they are in poor condition (vandalism and graffiti)
	4	Equal access for all	1	4 full size football pitches and toddler / infants play area. No footpaths within the site. Bridge crossing to surrounding open space has to be accessed via steps.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Dog proofed play area with 2 access gates. Suitable safety surfacing.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Some natural surveillance as the site is open in nature.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Dog bins on site and not much foul observed. Foul information on signage.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Not enough facilities such as benches. Require better provision of signage.
	9	Quality of facilities	3	Bins and benches – variety of type and quality. No consistency.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	4	Litter bins present including 2 in play area. Despite this, there is still a lot of litter, particularly in the car park.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Football pitches well maintained. No beds or floristic diversity.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	1	Pavilion defaced and broken glass.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play area equipment adequate.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Trees are acceptable.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Play provision is limited to toddlers / infants. Scope for improved park amenities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	There are signs but condition poor. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 76 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 23 out of 70 (33%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Shuntings / Nuneaton Common

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Defaced sign at one of the entrances to the site - uninviting
	2	Good and safe access	4	Difficult to locate site. Pedestrian access into site.
	3	Signage	1	Defaced signage at entrance.
	4	Equal access for all	4	Site is a green corridor (part of a disused railway line). Access paths through site but vary in quality.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	Paths vary in nature. Along parts of the Shuntings there are very steep drops with no warnings or barriers.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Very isolated across much of site.
	7	Dog fouling	2	No dog bins, but minimal dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	1	There is a seat within the Common but there is insufficient provision across the whole Shuntings area.
	9	Quality of facilities	4	
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	Abundance of litter noted along Shuntings area.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	The green corridor and common are suitably maintained given the type of site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Some fencing has been damaged along boundary edge. There are also gaps in the hedgerow along the boundary with the cemetery
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of a wider network of open space
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Majority of trees appear in satisfactory condition.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteers on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	No information of note on council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Defaced signage – no other marketing material.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 73 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 22 out of 70 (32%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Sorrell Road

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with no clearly defined entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Path into and through the site. Located in a residential estate so easily accessible on foot.
	3	Signage	3	Two signs located by MUGA relating to dog foul and alcohol.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Range of play opportunities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	The main play area is dog proofed.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Good natural surveillance. Floodlit MUGA.
	7	Dog fouling	2	No dog bins and some dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Adequate.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Facilities generally ok – some graffiti.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	7	Litter bins present and not much litter on site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	Shrub beds poorly maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	7	Park fabric good.
	13	Equipment maintenance	7	Play equipment well maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Well established trees.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Adequate provision given size of site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Signs on site but no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 103 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 30 out of 75 (43%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Stockingford Rec

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	6	Welcome signage in place at entrances
	2	Good and safe access	5	Site is easily accessed from surrounding areas by footpath. Bus route. On-street parking nearby.
	3	Signage	4	Welcome sign with contact details for council for enquiries. Little signage within the park itself.
	4	Equal access for all	7	Good path network through the park. Mix of play and sport opportunities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	7	Facilities generally in good condition across site.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Lighting along access paths. Suitable natural surveillance
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bins available but dog-foul still prevalent.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Good mix of facilities – park furniture and play and sport opportunities.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Satisfactory condition. Graffiti on picnic benches.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	7	Sufficient bins. Litter not a major problem.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Grass suitably maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Residential fencing along boundary edge in poor condition in parts.
	13	Equipment maintenance	7	Play equipment suitably maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Well established trees along paths.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	7	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community. Active Friends group.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good range of on-site opportunities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Could be more detail about site / signage. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	2	Marie Curie sign by daffodil planting areas.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 119 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 36 out of 70 (51%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Stubbs Pool inc The Dingle

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	Visible open space with no clearly defined entrance. Given the size of the site you would expect a main entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Surrounded by residential areas. Car park available at nearby community facility.
	3	Signage	4	Signs on lamppost on highway verge. Numerous signs about "no motorcycles" on lampposts. Signs acknowledging European funding.
	4	Equal access for all	7	Excellent path network. Range of facilities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Dog proofed play area. MUGA, skate, shelter. Paths in acceptable condition.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Lighting across park. Natural surveillance. Open site.
	7	Dog fouling	7	Numerous dog bins and dog foul signs.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Good amenities, well located.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Mix of bins and benches – no consistency. Signs of anti-social behaviour including graffiti.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	4	Plenty of litter bins, but also lots of litter.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Grass maintenance poor.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	General fabric of park is acceptable.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play area adequately maintained
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Well established mature trees by pool in good condition.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor environmental area within site, but no designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	One of the sites where play workers engage with the local community during certain periods of the year.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good access. Mix of play opportunities, junior football, lots of park furniture.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Some signage available. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	2	Mention of European funding.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 115 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 35 out of 70 (50%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: The Nook

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	6	Steps leading on to site. Clear entrance point. Attractive with lake in background
	2	Good and safe access	4	Accessible on foot. Entrance steps. Access could be improved from DDA perspective.
	3	Signage	5	Welcome sign by entrance but does not face visitors when entering the site.
	4	Equal access for all	3	Steps into site – no handrails. Gravel track in place around site. Environmental site.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Path through the site is adequate given type of site.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Some lighting along boundary / highway edge. Overlooked by housing. Open site.
	7	Dog fouling	3	There are multi-use bins in place but there was an abundance of foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	There is a bench located on the meadow. Scope for additional provision.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Signage and bench in fair condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Minimal litter noted across site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Pool, reed bed & surrounding hedgerow and meadows appear to be adequately maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Site boundary identifiable and in adequate condition.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	7	Active volunteers at site.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	4	Environmental space. Scope for further amenities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Informative signage but no other marketing material.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	6	Habitat and site details provided on one information board.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	6	Produced in 2006. Less complex management prescription assists scoring.
TOTAL: 120 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 37 out of 70 (52%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Tiverton Drive

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	5	Playground sign on highway next to site. Open site.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Surrounded by housing. Good path network leading to and through the site.
	3	Signage	1	Some dog foul signs on highway. No other signs within the actual open space.
	4	Equal access for all	3	Limited play opportunities available. Informal greenspace.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	Paths adequate. Play equipment not dog proofed.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Lights along the footpath network. Some natural surveillance. MUGA floodlit. Some isolated areas.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bins located along path.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Location of facilities acceptable.
	9	Quality of facilities	1	Damaged bins and benches – poor condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	2	Litter bins by play provision. In poor condition and lots of litter noted on site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Variable grass cut quality across site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Open space fabric acceptable.
	13	Equipment maintenance	2	Equipment in poor condition.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of a wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	3	Shrubs and trees damaged in places.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	2	Available play opportunities and park furniture are in poor condition and therefore inadequate.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 74 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 23 out of 70 (32%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Tomkinson Rec

Classification: Community / Neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 7th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Main entrance leading to a car park. Shattered glass across car park and defaced welcome sign – uninviting.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Path network leading into, and through the site.
	3	Signage	1	One sign by car park – defaced. No signage by play area.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Play area and football pitch. Path network through the site.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Play area adequate – dog proofed with two self closing gates.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Housing surrounding site boundary. No lighting within the park.
	7	Dog fouling	2	One dog bin by car park. There is a need for additional provision.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Provision concentrated at car park and play area. Insufficient dog and litter bin provision and scope for further amenity provision on playing field.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Adequate.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	2	Insufficient bin provision. Abundance of litter observed.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Poorly maintained site. Grass clippings not removed.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Site boundary is in poor condition in places – residential fencing of variable quality.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	4	Scope for more amenities across the park particularly on the playing field.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Poor quality signage on site. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 78 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 24 out of 70 (34%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Weddington Complex

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 13th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Gated entrance into Weddington Meadows. Pedestrian and cycle access into green corridor (accessed off A444). Dog foul along access bridge onto Meadows.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Green corridor part of cycle network. Pedestrian access. Meadows and green corridor difficult to locate.
	3	Signage	3	Damaged cycle network sign in Meadows habitat, and insufficient signage here. Along green corridor there is cycle network signage and also an information board.
	4	Equal access for all	3	There is a gravel path network and some informal paths. There are benches along the green corridor, but only one picnic bench in meadow.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	Gravel path network is of varying quality across the area.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Due to the location the site has poor natural surveillance, particularly along the green corridor.
	7	Dog fouling	0	No dog bins available for use and dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	3	Insufficient provision within the meadow area, and occasional provision along the green corridor walkway.
	9	Quality of facilities	2	Quality of picnic benches and seating is poor.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	No litter bins and an abundance of litter noted, particularly along the green corridor.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	The grassland habitat and vegetation appears to be adequately maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Site boundary fencing has been damaged in places within the meadows.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Part of complex is designated as "Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site"
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteers at the site on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	4	Site functions as a community wildspace but there is scope for increased provision of amenities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Event information available on the 'What's On' guide within the council's website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Insufficient signage across the site, and no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	5	Information board present providing historical details about the Weddington Walk.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 86 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 26 out of 70 (37%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Windmill Hill

Classification: Community / neighbourhood

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Nature area sign located on main road. Main entrance accessed via narrow, uneven track. Not very visually appealing
	2	Good and safe access	3	Uneven access track to the site. On-site parking facilities available.
	3	Signage	5	Signs providing information about habitats. Dog foul signage in place. Quarryman's walk sign in car park.
	4	Equal access for all	6	Trail leading through the site. Bridge crossings with hand rails. Accessibility could be improved in areas. Mix of habitat types.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Access routes are adequate given the type of site. Wooden benches are functional.
	6	Personal security in park	2	The site contains a mix of grassland, woodland and water habitat. There are a lot of secluded areas with no natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	2	1 bin in the car park which is used for dog foul. Scope for more bins with several instances of dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Benches located across site. Only one bin observed.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Benches and signs are adequate.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	1	Only one bin observed in car park. Not identified as litter or dog bin – mainly used for dog foul
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	7	Good mix of habitat – appears to be suitably maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	1	Brick building in car park – graffiti.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space in borough.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Well established tree cover.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Local Nature Reserve.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteer groups work at site on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Mixture of habitats.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	4	Limited information available – included on council website as part of ‘walks and waterway’ feature.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Number of suitable signs across site, but limited other marketing material.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	7	Historic information about quarrying provided on sign in car park, and habitat information boards.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 104 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 32 out of 70 (45%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Abbey Gardens

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 7th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Surrounded by busy road, but accessible on foot.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	3	Path network through the site. Informal grass areas and shrub beds with seating areas.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Paths adequate. No other facilities of note.
	6	Personal security in park	7	No lighting within the site itself but overlooked on all sides, and there is highway street lighting.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Two dog bins in the site.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Satisfactory provision given the size of the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Adequate condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	One litter bin on site and no litter of note observed.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Shrub beds in poor condition.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	The boundary is identifiable by the proximity of the surrounding streets and road network.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Well established tree cover around the site perimeter.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	This site is an informal amenity space and it functions adequately as this.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 90 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 27 out of 70 (39%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Anderton Road Rec

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Not easy to locate. No appropriate welcome signage.
	2	Good and safe access	3	Appears to be a small car park at rear of site. Not accessible as vehicle gate is locked. Broken glass in car park area. Accessible of foot from surrounding area.
	3	Signage	1	Limited signage.
	4	Equal access for all	3	MUGA, two junior football pitches. Access path to MUGA. No other paths in park. Seats by MUGA, but not ideal from DDA perspective.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	MUGA adequate.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Some surveillance provided by housing and adjoining school.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Three dog bins.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Bins are well located but no other amenities.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Adequate.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	2	One litter bin. Lots of litter and broken glass.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Junior football pitches adequate. Amenity grass could be better.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Boundary fencing variable.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	3	Trees appear to be in poor condition.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Limited play and sports provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 68 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 21 out of 70 (30%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Anker Mills

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Defined entrance point in place but it is uninviting to visitors.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Gravel path running through the site, with pedestrian access points from surrounding housing areas.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	3	Path network and a bridge crossing (with handrails). No seating areas.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	Path network narrow and uneven in places.
	6	Personal security in park	3	Overlooked by housing, but there are also secluded areas within the site.
	7	Dog fouling	1	One dog bin but several instances of dog foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	1	Insufficient provision of facilities.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	The dog bin is in fair condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	The site is adequately maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	A range of fencing types around site perimeter, but generally in satisfactory condition.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	7	Site of importance for nature conservation / Local Wildlife Site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	No active community involvement.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	2	There are insufficient amenities. Even though site is a community wildspace, there needs to be better provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 70 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 21 out of 70 (30%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Attleborough Rec

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Vehicle gate by entrance with "no vehicles" sign. Not very inviting.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Footpath around edge of site. Accessible by foot but no car park.
	3	Signage	1	No signage by play area. "No vehicle" sign is in poor condition. There is an additional sign in the park but it is not possible to read it.
	4	Equal access for all	6	Good path network into and through the site. Play area and one full size football pitch.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Dog proofed play area with two self closing gates.
	6	Personal security in park	3	Minimal natural surveillance. No lighting.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Two dog bins with stickers on. Little foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Facilities well located across the park.
	9	Quality of facilities	3	Benches located around perimeter of site are in poor condition whilst the amenities in the play area are ok.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Suitable provision – little litter.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Boundary fencing of variable quality.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play area maintenance is acceptable.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Mature trees generally in fair condition.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Scope for widening play provision to include older children and young people.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Signage in poor condition, therefore serving little purpose. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 94 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 29 out of 70 (41%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Blackberry Lane Rec

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	Difficult to locate. No signage. Open entrance to car park but shattered glass in car park.
	2	Good and safe access	3	Access is via a gravel track.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	2	No paths within the site. Hard surface within the car park. The site has one full size football pitch.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	
	6	Personal security in park	2	Limited natural surveillance, although the site is open in nature. No lighting.
	7	Dog fouling	3	There are dog bins in the site but one has been damaged and the other has graffiti on it.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	No seats – bin provision adequate.
	9	Quality of facilities	2	
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Two litter bins and minimal litter except for glass in car park.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	Grass too long, both the informal and sports pitch.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Gaps in boundary hedge line.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Trees adequate.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Limited provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 55 out of 22 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 18 out of 70 (25%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Brewer Road / Eustace Road

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open amenity green space.
	2	Good and safe access	3	No direct paths to the site. Surrounded by housing and adjoining farm land.
	3	Signage	5	Two 'no golf' signs. Condition adequate.
	4	Equal access for all	4	Limited play area. Informal grass area. Limited diversity.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	No paths to play area. Fencing around play area but no self closing gates so dogs can access the provision.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Overlooked by housing. Indirectly benefits from street lighting.
	7	Dog fouling	2	No dog bins. Some foul. Dog sticker on 'no golf' sign.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	One litter bin and one seat by play area. This is suitable given the size of the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	4	Bench needs replacing.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Little litter on site and one bin.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Grass cut short but not strimmed around signage.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Gaps in boundary line leading to adjoining fields.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Condition of play equipment is ok, although the equipment is dated.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Limited trees across the site.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	4	Limited play area.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Some signage on site but no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 84 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 26 out of 70 (37%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Buttermere Rec

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with no defined entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Restricted to access via footpath from Buttermere Avenue.
	3	Signage	6	Play area sign and dog foul signs on lamppost that are on highway verge.
	4	Equal access for all	3	Play area and playing field. Path to play area – no other paths.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Dog proof play area with two self closing gates. Benches on play area.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Play area overlooked.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Adequate dog bins. Dog signs on lamppost. Isolated instance of dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Benches restricted to play area.
	9	Quality of facilities	4	Benches in poor condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	2 litter bins which are well used.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Grass cut poor.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	2	Boundary fencing damaged and missing in parts.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Acceptable but equipment appears dated.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Well established trees on site.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	No active community involvement.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	4	Formal play opportunities restricted to toddlers and infants.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Council contact number provided on play area signage. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 93 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 28 out of 70 (40%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Changebrook Close

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Apparent as an open space – no defined entrance point.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Paths leading into and through the site from surrounding residential areas.
	3	Signage	4	Open space sign by bridge crossing – in need of replacing as it is illegible. Also dog foul signage.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Functions as an amenity greenspace and part environmental area. Paths and bridge crossing acceptable.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Bridge has handrails. Paths in good condition.
	6	Personal security in park	4	Although there's natural surveillance, there is also a lot of tree and shrub cover creating secluded areas.
	7	Dog fouling	7	There is a sign advising that fines are imposed for dog fouling. 2 dog bins on site. No foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	2	No litter bins or benches on site.
	9	Quality of facilities	1	Dog bins defaced and signage in poor condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	Abundance of litter observed within vegetation.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	On day of inspection the grass was poorly maintained and grass edges by footpaths poorly defined.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Park boundary satisfactorily maintained.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of a wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Good mix of trees that are generally in good condition.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor part of the formal area identified as wildspace.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	2	Managed as an informal green space. Need more facilities such as seats and litter bins.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	No information immediately apparent on council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Dog sign in place, but no other marketing material.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 74 out of 22 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 24 out of 70 (34%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Channel Way

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Gated entrance point in place.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Path into site from surrounding housing area. Gravel path through site.
	3	Signage	6	Signage within the site including 'no fishing' signs and also warning signs relating to overhead electric cables.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Informal open space with a path running around the perimeter of a small lake.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Paths ok within the site.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Limited natural surveillance along one side of the site. Open nature of site means that visitors do not feel isolated.
	7	Dog fouling	0	

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	0	No litter bins, dog bins or seats.
	9	Quality of facilities	N/A	
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	No litter bins and litter observed within the site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Site boundary satisfactory.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	4	Trees and planted areas have been damaged in places.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Informal open space but more amenities required.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Some signage on site but no other marketing material.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 65 out of 21 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 22 out of 70 (31%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Clovelly Way

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open walkway into site with no entrance gates.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Easily accessible on foot from surrounding residential area.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	1	Play opportunities very limited, particularly when considering DDA. .
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	No dog proof fencing around play equipment. Paths in adequate condition.
	6	Personal security in park	4	Some natural surveillance of part of site. Isolated areas behind hedge cover.
	7	Dog fouling	7	3 dog bins on site which is good given size of the site.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Seats by play facilities. Dog and litter bins. No signage.
	9	Quality of facilities	3	Quality of facilities is poor.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	One litter bin on site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Grass cut is adequate.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Park fabric generally acceptable although the boundary of the site is difficult to establish.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Maintenance is ok although the equipment is very dated.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of a wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Fair given the small size of site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 78 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 24 out of 70 (34%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Collycroft Rec

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Entrance to car park. Broken glass scattered across the car park.
	2	Good and safe access	7	Bus stop adjacent to the main entrance. Access from surrounding residential area. Car park.
	3	Signage	4	Alcohol free zone signs by entrance to site. No riding of motorcycles signs by other entrance points.
	4	Equal access for all	2	No benches, litter bins or play area. Poor quality access routes through the site (uneven and rutted).
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	1	Abundance of broken glass noted across the site. Uneven access routes.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Adequate natural surveillance. Some lights within the park.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Numerous dog bins but several instances of dog foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	1	Lack of facilities across the site with the exception of dog bins.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Dog bins ok.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	No litter bins and lots of litter across the site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	Grass areas including the football pitch are poorly maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	1	Metal structure by car park (affected by graffiti). Boundary fencing variable but mainly residential fencing in poor condition.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	4	Trees and shrubs showing signs of vandalism.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor part of formal area identified as a wildspace – no designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	2	Football pitch available but little else.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	No information immediately evident on council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Some signage on site, but no other information available to market site.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 57 out of 22 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 18 out of 70 (26%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Cornish Crescent

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Damaged vehicle access gate with broken glass observed by entrance. Uninviting.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Site is easily accessed from surrounding areas by footpath. Locked, dilapidated on-site car park.
	3	Signage	1	One defaced "No golf" sign.
	4	Equal access for all	2	Limited play opportunities. No path leading to play area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	No self closing gates on play area.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Overlooked by housing on all sides.
	7	Dog fouling	3	One dog bin.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	2	Very limited provision – one bench, one litter bin, one dog bin. Play area limited.
	9	Quality of facilities	2	Play area in need of refurbishment.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	2	One litter bin and an abundance of litter and broken glass noted.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Grass cut short but clippings not removed.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	2	Boundary fencing damaged / missing in places.
	13	Equipment maintenance	2	Corroded swing frame on play area. Damage to play area fencing.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Some trees and hedges along boundary edge.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Poor quality facilities offering little meaningful value to the local community.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 58 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 18 out of 70 (25%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Coronation Walk

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	5	Entrance points are defined but not overly welcoming.
	2	Good and safe access	7	Good access into the site at several points from surrounding residential areas.
	3	Signage	2	"No motorcycle" sign by main entrance into site off A444.
	4	Equal access for all	4	Toddler play area. Paths located within only part of the open space, but do provide access to the play area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Dog proofed play fencing but no gates on the play area. Play equipment is safe. Bridge crossing over park in need of some remedial works.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Some natural surveillance with the play area overlooked by housing.
	7	Dog fouling	6	Adequate number of dog bins with little foul observed. Dog foul stickers on dog bins.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Well located bins and benches.
	9	Quality of facilities	2	Benches and bins in poor condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	2	Only litter bin located in the play area. Some litter on grass.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Grass area well maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Perimeter fencing adequate by houses.
	13	Equipment maintenance	3	Play equipment in poor condition.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Well established mature trees on edge.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor environmental area within site, but no designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	4	Adequate given the type and location.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Insufficient signage and no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 93 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 28 out of 70 (40%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Freesland Rise

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with main pedestrian access off Bucks Hill
	2	Good and safe access	6	Easily accessible on foot from surrounding residential area.
	3	Signage	4	Signage in place explaining ventilation system in operation on the site.
	4	Equal access for all	3	Access route diagonally across the site. Uneven in nature.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Dog proofed play area. Surfaces across site not ideal from DDA perspective.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Planted whip beds creating secluded areas. Generally open with natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	4	Limited bin provision. Dog foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	3	Insufficient provision.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Equipment limited but condition fair.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	3	Few litter bins and several instances of litter noted.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Abundance of weeds in mesh ventilation system. Grass cut poor.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Park fabric ok.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	4	Identified as a local wildspace, but with no formal designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Limited provision particularly for older children and teenagers.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Limited signage and no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 93 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 27 out of 70 (39%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Frensham Drive (inc Poplar Tree Farm)

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Open site with various linked access points.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Good access on foot from surrounding housing area.
	3	Signage	1	Damaged golf sign.
Healthy, safe & secure	4	Equal access for all	2	Poor path network across site. Gravel path of varying width running through the site.
	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	Uneven nature of path is a trip hazard.
	6	Personal security in park	4	Some natural surveillance although there are isolated areas.
	7	Dog fouling	2	No clearly defined dog bins on site. Lots of dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	2	One litter bin located centrally in the open space. Seems inappropriately located.
	9	Quality of facilities	1	Very poor condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	3	Litter bins present but they do not appear to be used.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Grass length varies considerably across the site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Park fabric ok.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Trees adequate.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	4	Part of site is designated as a community wildspace – no formal designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	1	Informal open space. Based on site visit it appears that the main use is dog walking.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Damaged signage.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 67 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 20 out of 70 (29%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Haunchwood Rec (Sports Ground)

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Vehicle access gate locked by closed car park. Not visually appealing.
	2	Good and safe access	1	Not easily accessed. Narrow one-lane road running adjacent to site with no off-site parking in close proximity.
	3	Signage	2	No welcome signage. "No Golf" sign displayed in pavilion along with sports notices.
	4	Equal access for all	1	Path leading to pavilion. Steps to pitch with no handrail but steep gradient.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	Paths ok. Sports facilities in poor condition.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Adequate.
	7	Dog fouling	3	No dog bins but minimal dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Good number of benches (six), but only one litter bin.
	9	Quality of facilities	3	Benches are in poor condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Minimal litter noted. Only one bin.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Sports pitches adequately maintained and amenity grassland ok.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Pavilion in need of exterior refurbishment.
	13	Equipment maintenance	2	Dilapidated cricket nets and sightscreen.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider green space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	7	Area of woodland along one side of open space.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Part of site is identified as local wildspace but with no formal designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Cricket and football sporting opportunities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	5	Limited information available about the site on the council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 73 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 22 out of 70 (32%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Hoarestone Grange

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 14th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	No main entrance. Site functions as a green corridor with several access points.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Access into site off residential estate
	3	Signage	5	Signage by bridge crossing. Dog foul signage by link paths.
	4	Equal access for all	3	Gravel path network through site and tarmac link paths leading to the site off residential estate. No seating.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	Gravel path leading through site is uneven in places and narrow.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Limited natural surveillance. Isolated within green corridor and hedgerow / tree cover exacerbates this.
	7	Dog fouling	3	Occasional dog bins positioned on access paths leading to green corridor.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	3	No seating facilities. Some bins on link paths although require provision along corridor.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Facilities that are present are adequate.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	3	Some bins leading into site but there is a lot of litter within the green corridor.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Hedge and tree cover is poorly maintained in places, particularly along parts of the gravel path, where overgrown cover makes access difficult.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Site boundary fencing / hedgerows damaged in places, and the extent of the site is difficult to establish.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	4	Identified as a local wildspace, but with no formal designation.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	No formal community involvement.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Scope for further amenities across the site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Signage in place by bridge crossing and other occasional site signage. No other marketing material of note.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	6	Signage by bridge crossing explains local school involvement at the site.
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 80 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 24 out of 70 (35%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Inchford Close

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 24th April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with no entrance gates.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Paths leading to, and through, the site.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	6	The path that leads through the site is in good condition and provides access to the play area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Path in good condition. No dog-proofing of play area.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Open site with good natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	7	1 dog bin and no dog foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Good provision given the size of the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	7	Facilities in good condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	7	One litter bin with minimal litter noted.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	5	Grass maintenance adequate.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	7	Open space boundary well-defined.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Equipment adequately maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Trees and shrubs on site are acceptable for a residential amenity greenspace.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	7	Good provision given size of site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 102 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 31 out of 70 (44%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Johnson Rec / Jubilee Centre

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Apparent as open space but no welcome signage.
	2	Good and safe access	7	Bus stop next to site. Easily accessible on foot. Car park by leisure centre.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	4	Play area for infants / juniors. Basketball facility. Six-a-side football pitches marked out. Steep access route to playing field.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	7	Play area good with wet pour and matta surfacing.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Adequate natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bins on site with 3 located on the playing field where foul is most prevalent.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Benches in play area. Could do with more across site.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Graffiti on dog bins, otherwise ok.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	4	Litter bins in play area but none on playing field.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Shrub beds by play area. Grass cut poor.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Boundary fencing on playing field in poor condition. Graffiti on metal structure.
	13	Equipment maintenance	7	Good.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Trees by play area.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	6	One of the main sites where play workers engage with the local community.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Adequate mix of play and sporting opportunities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 100 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 29 out of 70 (42%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Kingswood Road Playing Field

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Open site – first thing you notice is a damaged football goal – unwelcoming entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Accessible on foot from surrounding housing areas.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	3	MUGA, football pitch. No footpaths within the site.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	MUGA in fair condition. Football goal damaged and in unsafe condition.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Open site with natural surveillance. Floodlit MUGA.
	7	Dog fouling	5	1 dog bin with little foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	4	Seating incorporated within the MUGA but no traditional parks benches located.
	9	Quality of facilities	3	Amenities could be better. Football goals in poor condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	3	One litter bin – in poor condition (damaged and corroded)
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	Faded line markings on football pitch. Grass long.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	2	Metal structure located by MUGA in poor condition with sharp metal hanging loose representing a danger. Palisade fencing by railway line in suitable condition.
	13	Equipment maintenance	4	MUGA in good condition but lots of graffiti present.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Hedge and trees ok. Little variety.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	MUGA and football pitch providing a limited choice of play/sporting opportunities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	No information apparent on council website.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 62 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 19 out of 70 (27%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Long Shoot

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	Vehicle / pedestrian access gate but not easy to locate off main road and not very inviting.
	2	Good and safe access	1	Located by busy road (A47) which is difficult to cross given the amount of traffic using the road.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	1	Very limited play opportunities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Two sets of swings have safety surfacing (condition could be better).
	6	Personal security in park	2	Partly visible off the main road. Play facilities located in the far corner of the site which is not well overlooked.
	7	Dog fouling	3	No dog bins but no foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	One bin and one seat located by swings. This is adequate given the size of the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	1	In very poor condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Not much litter across site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Maintenance adequate.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	7	Boundary fencing good.
	13	Equipment maintenance	4	Swings in poor condition. In need of refurbishment.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	N/A	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	2	Limited provision for the community.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 59 out of 22 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 19 out of 70 (27%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Marston Lane Fields

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment:



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Apparent as open space but not particularly inviting.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Path network into and through the site. Cycle route.
	3	Signage	4	No sign by play area. Site does have other signs – fly-tipping, pedestrian/cycle route, dog sign. Condition variable.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Good play opportunities. Informal grass as well as woods / environmental area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Dog-proofed play area. Path in good condition.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Lights along path. Some natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bins available but dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	6	Suitable provision across site.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Bins and benches are acceptable.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Suitable provision and minimal litter.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Maintenance varies across site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Palisade fencing in place to define boundary edge by adjacent school.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play equipment in suitable condition.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Identified as a destination wildspace but with no formal designation .
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	5	Volunteers at site on an ad-hoc basis.
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Suitable signage but no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 109 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 32 out of 70 (45%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Marston Lane Rec

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Locked vehicle gate in place. Uninviting and difficult to locate.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Bus stop and limited access paths leading to the park.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	2	Two football pitches and limited amenities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Hard surface leading to storage facility.
	6	Personal security in park	2	Surveillance from cemetery. No other natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	3	3 dog bins across site but still lots of dog foul.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	2	Only facilities available are dog bins.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Dog bins are ok.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	No litter bins. Lots of litter and broken glass noted, particularly in the car park.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	No planting except for line of hedgerow along one side of park. Grass cut poor.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Range of fencing around the site. Palisade fencing good but wire mesh fencing damaged and missing in places. Store facility had graffiti on it.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	4	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 51 out of 22 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 16 out of 70 (23%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Middlemarch Road

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	2	Defaced welcome sign and overgrown vegetation by entrance create an unfavourable impression.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Pedestrian access via footbridge into site. No car park.
	3	Signage	3	Welcome sign defaced. Alcohol restriction signs in place.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Mix of play opportunities. Informal open space with part environmental area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Play area surfacing and fencing adequate.
	6	Personal security in park	4	Lighting along paths and floodlit play facilities. Secluded with little natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	0	Dog foul on main path into site – no dog bins.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Seats, litter bins suitably located across site.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Graffiti on some facilities, but general condition adequate.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	Broken glass noted in site but there are a suitable number of litter bins.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Maintenance of grass areas poor, particularly around boundary edges.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Metal structures on site in fair condition. Site boundary fencing adequate.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play area appears to be adequately maintained.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Fair.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor part of formal area identified as wildspace.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Adequate range of facilities and informal opportunities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Signage is in poor condition, and no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 84 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 26 out of 70 (37%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Millais Close

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with no welcome signage.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Paths running through the site. Footpath network surrounding site.
	3	Signage	0	
Healthy, safe & secure	4	Equal access for all	4	Two swings. Small amenity greenspace.
	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	Safety surfacing loose in places.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Overlooked by housing.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Two dog bins. Some dog foul noted on main path.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Given the size of the site, there is adequate provision.
	9	Quality of facilities	4	Graffiti on litter bin.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	No litter bin. Some litter.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Grass long. Shrub bed could be maintained better.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Residential fencing of variable quality.
	13	Equipment maintenance	3	Swings ok. Surfacing needs some remedial work.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	3	Damaged trees.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	4	Scope for more facilities such as seats and litter bins.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 75 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 22 out of 70 (31%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Montana Walk / Red Banks

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Apparent as an open space with no main entrance point.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Access on foot from surrounding residential areas.
	3	Signage	5	Signs located around perimeter of site – mainly relating to use of vehicles and dog foul
	4	Equal access for all	5	Some play equipment. Mix of tarmac and gravel surfacing across site. Generally acceptable.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	Some play equipment showing signs of damage. One piece of equipment has been removed off site.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Overlooked by houses but isolated areas across the site as well due to location of trees / vegetation.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Some dog bins located across the park, but instances of dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	3	Seats and litter bins by play facilities but no additional provision. Dog bins across the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	4	Variable quality.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	2	Two litter bins by the play area. Lots of litter across the site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Variable cut quality across the site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Boundary fencing damaged in places and showing signs of graffiti.
	13	Equipment maintenance	4	Some equipment showing signs of damage.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	3	Some trees showing signs of damage and vandalism.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	2	Minor part of formal open space designated as wildspace.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Some signs across the site.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 80 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 24 out of 70 (35%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: New Road

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open access point. Eroded grass cover by entrance. Vehicle gate in place.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Site is adjacent to a main road. Accessible on foot. Bus stop by site.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	2	Infants play area. No access path to facility. Site entrance not ideal from DDA perspective.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	3	Play equipment has safety surfacing but no fencing.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Overlooked by houses. Indirectly benefits from nearby street lighting.
	7	Dog fouling	5	One dog bin. Some foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Small open space with a bench, litter bin and dog bin.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Condition is satisfactory.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	7	No litter of note. One litter bin.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	Grass long and in need of cutting. Clippings on wet pour.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Site boundary ok, although the quality of the fencing varies.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Equipment is dated but maintenance is adequate.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of a wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Limited trees in the site.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Given small size of site, there is adequate provision.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 83 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 25 out of 70 (36%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Orford Rise

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Open site with no apparent main entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Site is easily accessed from surrounding areas by footpath. Bus stop nearby. On-street parking nearby.
	3	Signage	0	No signage.
	4	Equal access for all	4	Tarmac path off Orford Rise to play facilities. Steep gradient within part of site with narrow access track.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Good mix of play equipment, although the equipment is not dog-proofed.
	6	Personal security in park	5	Adequate natural surveillance of play area. Secluded in adjoining woods
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bins noted. Could be more within the woodland area.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Play facilities target infants and juniors.
	9	Quality of facilities	7	Facilities in good condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	2 litter bins by play facilities. Not much litter noted.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Grass cut quality varies across site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Palisade fencing around part of site boundary – suitable condition.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play facilities adequately maintained but matta surfacing appears to be damaged in places.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Woodland adequate.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Could be more provision targeted at older children.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 87 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 26 out of 70 (38%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Rectory Drive

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Self closing gate off Rectory Drive is the main access to the play area.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Accessible on foot from surrounding residential area.
	3	Signage	5	Dog foul sign by play area, and “no ball games” sign in site.
	4	Equal access for all	4	Limited play area, no paths in site, one bench.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Play area adequate – dog proofed
	6	Personal security in park	5	Some lighting by the surrounding residential area (natural surveillance adequate).
	7	Dog fouling	0	No dog bins. Some foul leading into site.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Adequate given the size of the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	3	Bench is old and in need of replacing.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	One litter bin, but some litter by the play equipment.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Shrub beds poorly maintained.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Fencing damaged around site boundary.
	13	Equipment maintenance	5	Fair.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	N/A	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Fair provision given the small size of the site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 77 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 23 out of 70 (33 %)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Sherbourne Avenue

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 22nd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Vehicular access gate. Open site. No signage along boundary edge.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Site easily accessed on foot from surrounding residential area.
	3	Signage	5	One sign by play area welcoming users to the facility.
	4	Equal access for all	4	Good mix of play opportunities. No paths leading to play facilities.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Satisfactory play provision.
	6	Personal security in park	7	Open site with good natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Dog bin on site. Little dog foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Bench in play area. Lean back seat by MUGA. Potential for more seating in rest of site.
	9	Quality of facilities	6	Mixed quality of bin provision. Play facilities good.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	7	Some litter noted but two bins available for use.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	4	Grass cut poor.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	3	Fencing damaged and perimeter of site.
	13	Equipment maintenance	4	Graffiti. Play area fencing damaged.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Trees around boundary edge adequate.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Good play opportunities. Scope for paths and more seating.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	3	Information by play area including contact number to report problems. No other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 96 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 29 out of 70 (42%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: St Giles Rec

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Corroded vehicle entrance gate. Bollards. Uninviting.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Access on foot. No car park. Located next to busy roads.
	3	Signage	3	Small 'alcohol restriction' sign by play area and by MUGA.
	4	Equal access for all	3	Path leading to play area. No drop down kerb leading to play area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Dog proofed play area. Two self closing gates. Limited path network.
	6	Personal security in park	3	Play area surrounded by trees / hedges. Floodlit MUGA. Limited natural surveillance.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Two dog bins – some foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Adequate range of bins and seats.
	9	Quality of facilities	3	Condition of facilities could be better.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	4	Good provision of bins but abundance of broken glass across site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	The grass cover was long on day of inspection.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Some bollards damaged around site boundary.
	13	Equipment maintenance	4	MUGA fencing damaged. Play area maintenance adequate.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	3	Evidence of damage / vandalism to trees.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Adequate mix of play opportunities.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	1	Insufficient signage and no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 73 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 22 out of 70 (32%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Stanley Road

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 7th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	1	Vehicle gate located off Stanley Road. Site is difficult to locate. Grounds maintenance very poor by site entrance creating unwelcoming feel.
	2	Good and safe access	4	Limited path network into and through site. Locked car park.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	2	Informal site with no play opportunities. Two benches.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	2	The quality and nature of the hard surfaces varies across the site.
	6	Personal security in park	1	Very isolated within this site due to location.
	7	Dog fouling	4	Two dog bins within the site but dog foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	3	Although there are seats and dog bins, the site lacks litter bin provision.
	9	Quality of facilities	3	Graffiti and damage to the two dog bins and seats.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	0	
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	Poorly maintained site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	4	Damage to trees.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	2	There are seats available but the site has little value, and in its current state, is poorly maintained.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 46 out of 22 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 15 out of 70 (21%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Sterling Way

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 6th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with no main entrance.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Easily accessible on foot. Good path network.
	3	Signage	4	Some dog foul stickers on lampposts and 'cycle/pedestrian' signs.
	4	Equal access for all	5	Play area and "kick about" area. Informal open space. Good path network.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	6	Paths in good condition. Play area adequate.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Natural surveillance from residential area. Lighting along paths.
	7	Dog fouling	7	Good provision of bins. No foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Adequately positioned across the fragmented site.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Adequate condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	6	Bins in play area and others across the site.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Poor maintenance of informal grass areas – too long. Shrub beds need better maintenance.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	4	Boundary is adequate although difficult to identify given fragmented nature of site.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	Play area is adequate.
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	Mix of well established trees and young planted trees.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Limited signage, and no other information available to market site apart from council website details.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 104 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 30 out of 70 (43%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: The Alders

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 23rd April 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Apparent as open space. No gates or signage.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Easily accessible on foot.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	6	Play area – equipment suitable for users of all physical abilities. Two benches in the play area.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	7	Path and play area good.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Good natural surveillance but main footpath through the site is not lit.
	7	Dog fouling	7	One dog bin and no foul noted.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	7	Litter bins in play area. Dog bin on the field. Seats in play area. This is good given the size of the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	7	In good condition.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	7	Good provision and little litter.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	3	Grass clippings not removed. Planted beds in the play area are in poor condition.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Fencing adequate on boundary and by play area.
	13	Equipment maintenance	6	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider network of open space.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	Few trees on site – condition acceptable.
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	6	Suitable provision given that it's only a small site. Play provision doesn't target older children.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 105 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 31 out of 70 (44%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Thornton Way

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment:



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	4	Open site with no signage.
	2	Good and safe access	6	Located by bus route. Good access on foot from surrounding residential areas.
	3	Signage	0	
	4	Equal access for all	2	No tarmac paths within the site. Gravel track access routes are in poor condition.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	5	Play facilities safe. Swing removed from play area.
	6	Personal security in park	6	Overlooked by housing.
	7	Dog fouling	2	No dog bins present but little foul observed.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	5	Benches and litter bins by play facilities.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Fair.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	5	
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	2	Numerous weeds in planted whips. Grass long across site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	5	Park fabric ok.
	13	Equipment maintenance	4	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	8	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	5	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	0	
	20	Conservation of landscape features	N/A	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	5	Mix of play equipment and informal open space.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	2	Noted on council website that the site contains a play area.
	25	Provision of appropriate information	0	
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 85 out of 24 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 25 out of 70 (35%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Green Flag Assessment

Open Space: Wheelwright Lane Country Park

Classification: Local

Date of Assessment: 12th May 2009



Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
A welcoming place	1	Welcoming	3	Defined entrance points into this large site. Signage in place by entrance points but these are not welcome signs.
	2	Good and safe access	5	Accessible on foot from surrounding area.
	3	Signage	6	Signage by lakes, dog foul signage, and footpath signage by entrance points.
	4	Equal access for all	6	Bridge with handrails, gravel path network through site, and other informal paths.
Healthy, safe & secure	5	Safe equipment and facilities	4	Paths generally adequate given the type of site. No benches.
	6	Personal security in park	3	Isolated areas across the large site.
	7	Dog fouling	5	Bins available within the site with appropriate warning stickers. Some foul noted across site.

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	8	Appropriate provision of facilities	3	Bins are ok but there are no seats within the site.
	9	Quality of facilities	5	Dog bins and signage adequate.
Clean & well-maintained	10	Litter and waste management	1	Minimal litter noted, but no litter bins.
	11	Grounds maintenance and horticulture	6	Appropriate maintenance across site.
	12	Buildings and infrastructure maintenance	6	Site boundary adequately maintained.
	13	Equipment maintenance	N/A	
Sustainability	14	Environmental sustainability energy & natural resource conservation, pollution	6	Part of wider open space network.
	15	Pesticides	N/A	
	16	Peat use	N/A	
	17	Waste minimisation	8	Green waste recycling

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Criteria	Item	Sub-Criteria	Score	Comment
	18	Arboriculture and woodland management	6	
Conservation and heritage	19	Conservation of natural features, wild fauna and flora	4	No formal designation on site, but water, woodland and grassland habitat across site.
	20	Conservation of landscape features	7	
	21	Conservation of buildings and structures	N/A	
Community Involvement	22	Community involvement in management and development including outreach work	0	
	23	Appropriate provision for the community	3	Seating and litter bins required across the site.
Marketing	24	Marketing and promotion	0	
	25	Provision of appropriate information	2	Some signs in place but no other marketing material available.
	26	Provision of appropriate educational interpretation/information	0	
Management	27	Implementation of the management plan	0	
TOTAL: 89 out of 23 factors				
GREEN FLAG SCORE: 27 out of 70 (39%)				

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1 Very Poor	2 3 or 4 Poor	5 6 Fair	7 Good	8 Very Good	9 Excellent	10 Exceptional
------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	----------------	----------------	-------------------

Field Study Scoring Guide:

0 1	2 3 or 4	5 6	7	8	9	10
Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Exceptional